

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,963	09/29/2003	Guntram Scheible	MpNr.99/616 D	3941
24131 75	90 03/30/2005		EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA P O BOX 2480			CHANG, SUNRAY	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A - 4' O	10/673,963	SCHEIBLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sunray Chang	2121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 February 2005</u> .						
	nis action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 i Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objectine drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of:  1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Di					

Application/Control Number: 10/673,963

Art Unit: 2121

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This office action is in responsive to the paper filed on February  $2^{nd}$ , 2005.
- 2. Claims 1 6 are presented for examination.

Claims 1 - 6 are rejected.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knoblach (U.S. Patent No. 6,628941, and referred to as Knoblach hereinafter), and in view of Craig Brent Chambers (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,485 and referred to as Chambers hereinafter).

(Knoblach as set forth above generally discloses the basic inventions.)

Application/Control Number: 10/673,963 Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

4. Regarding independent claim 1,

Knoblach teaches,

- In a machine having a process computer, a control system. [Col. 10, Lines 14 29]
- a central transmitting device connected to the process computer; a central receiving device
   connected to the process computer; [Col. 10, Lines 14 29]
- a plurality of controllers including at least one of the group consisting of sensors; [Col. 10,
   Lines 14 29] and
- said controllers each having:
  - a transmitting device communicating with said central receiving device; a receiving
     device communicating with said central transmitting device; [Col. 10, Lines 14 29]
  - an integrated fuel tank for storing fuel; [Fig. 3, and Col. 7, Line 23] and
  - an integrated micro fuel cell associated with said fuel tank, said fuel cell converting stored fuel into electric power and supplying the electric power to a respective one of said controllers. [Fig. 3, and Col. 7, Line 16 Col. 8, Line 46]

Knoblach does not teach radio signals.

Chambers teaches radio signals, [Col. 1, Lines 51 - 61] for the purpose of replacing of that cable with wireless communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,963 Page 4

Art Unit: 2121

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Knoblach to include "radio signals", for the purpose of replacing cable with wireless communication.

### 5. Regarding dependent claim 2,

• the machine is an automatic production machine. [Vehicle, Col. 1, Lines 1-6]

## 6. Regarding dependent claim 3,

said transmitting device and said receiving device is a combination transmitting and
 receiving device. [Col. 10, Lines 34 – 35]

## 7. Regarding dependent claim 4,

 said central transmitting device and said central receiving device is a combination transmitting and receiving device. [58, Fig. 1]

## 8. Regarding dependent claim 5,

the fuel is methanol. [Col. 7, Line 23]

## 9. Regarding dependent claim 6,

• an electric energy store connected to said fuel cell. [30, Fig. 1, and Col. 8, Lines 34 - 46]

Art Unit: 2121

#### Response to Amendment

#### Claim Objections

10. Applicants' amendment over come the claim objection; Objection has been withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The rejections based on reference Knoblach et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,628,941) have been withdrawn.

# **Conclusion**

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunray Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3682. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-746-3506.

Sunray Chang
Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 2121
Technology Center 2100
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Anthony Knight
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

litte to

March 25, 2005